



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/322,708	05/28/1999	KIRK DOW SANDERS	81862.P125	8389
8791 . 73	590 06/13/2003		·	
BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN 12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD, SEVENTH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA 90025			EXAMINER	
			HO, DUC CHI	
	•		· ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		2665	9
		•	DATE MAILED: 06/13/2003	/

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application N Office Action Summary    Examiner				PRG			
Examiner   Durc C Ho   Durc		Application N	Applicant(s)				
Duc C Ho		09/322,708	SANDERS ET AL.				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Enteriors of ore many be available under the provension of 3 CFR 1.18(a). In o event, however, may a reply be timely filed  Enteriors of ore many be available under the provension of 3 CFR 1.18(a). In o event, however, may a reply be timely filed  If the period for reply specified above its less than thirty (30) days, as reply with the statulory period will apply and will egiles \$10, (MONTHS from the mailing date of the communication of the communication of the period for reply specified above, the maniform date of this communication to become ABANDOWED (33 U.S.C. § 133)  and the period for reply specified above. The maniform date of this communication to become ABANDOWED (33 U.S.C. § 133)  and the period for reply specified above. The maniform date of this communication to become ABANDOWED (33 U.S.C. § 133)  This action is FINAL.  2b ∑ This action is non-final.  3) ∑ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex partie Quayle, 1935 c.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) ∑ Claim(s) 1-23 (slare pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s)	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  are SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above, the reasonary expension of the period for reply specified above, the reasonary expension of the period for reply specified above, the reasonary expension of the period for reply specified above, the reasonary expension of the period for reply specified above, the reasonary expension of the period for reply specified above, the reasonary expension of the period of th							
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of tem may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.13(d), in so event, however, may a reply be limitly filled after SX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of his communication, apply within the studiety and the SX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of his communication, apply within the studiety and with apply and will apply and will apply and will apply the Missage X(e) MONTHS from the mailing date of his communication, and the provision of Claims  1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 92 April 2003  2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.  3] Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-37 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5] Claim(s) 6-9 and 30-37 is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-5 and 10-29 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9) The provision of Side on is/are: all accepted or bill objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: all accepted or bill objected to by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The proposed drawing correction filed on is all paper very bill disapproved by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been r		ears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b)  This action is non-final.  3)  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 1-37 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) 6-9 and 30-37 is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-55 and 10-29 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11)  The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b)  Some * c) None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a)  The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(e)	<ul> <li>THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.</li> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute,</li> <li>Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>	36(a). In no event, however, may a within the statutory minimum of thin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed  by (30) days will be considered timely.  ITHS from the mailing date of this communication  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.			
3  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 1-37 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) 6-9 and 30-37 is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11)  The proposed drawing correction filed on is a approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13)   Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 A</u>	April 2003 .		•			
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-37 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) 6-9 and 30-37 is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-5 and 10-29 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)    Notice of References Cited (PTO-82)   Notice of Tonfarberson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   Olice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ Thi	is action is non-final.					
A) □ Claim(s) 1-37 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) 6-9 and 30-37 is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) 1-5 and 10-29 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)□ approved by □ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)□ □ All b)□ □ Some * c)□ None of:  1.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.□ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.□ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1 □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  3 □ Notice of Tontapserson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5 □ Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 60 Uther:				is			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) 6-9 and 30-37 is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) 1-5 and 10-29 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 and 120  13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1 □ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	•	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
5   Claim(s) 6-9 and 30-37 is/are allowed. 6   Claim(s) 1-5 and 10-29 is/are rejected. 7   Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8   Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9   The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10   The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)   accepted or b)   objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11   The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)   approved b)   disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12   The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13   Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)   All   b)   Some * c)   None of: 1.   Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2.   Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3.   Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)), *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14)   Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s) 1   Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2   Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 3   Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6   Other:	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-37</u> is/are pending in the application						
6) Claim(s) 1.5 and 10-29 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) cacepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  b) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  control information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  6) Other:	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.					
7  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers 9  The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6-9 and 30-37</u> is/are allowed.						
8  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	6)		•				
Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
9  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of Prafisperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  6) Other:		r election requirement.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  All Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	,						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:	<u> </u>						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  6) Other:		•					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:							
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)			isapproved by the Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		•					
13)   Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)   All b)   Some * c)   None of:  1.   Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.   Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.   Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14)   Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a)	•		•				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  6) Other:		priority under 35 H.S.C.	8 119(a)-(d) or (f)				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Solution Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		priority under do d.c.c.	3 110(4) (4) 51 (1).				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  6) Other:		s have been received.					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  6) Other:			pplication No.				
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  6) Other:	<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But</li> </ol>	rity documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	received in this National Stage				
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  6) Other:	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional applicat	ion).			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	_ a) $\square$ The translation of the foreign language pro	visional application has b	een received.	-			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) Other:							
II Plantant and Transferred Office	Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of					

Application/Control Number: 09/322,708 Page 2

Art Unit: 2665

**DETAILED ACTION** 

### Allowable Subject Matter

1. The indicated allowability of claims 10-15 are withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Koenig et al., Yamashita and the admitted prior art in figure 2 of the instant application. Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103© and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Art Unit: 2665

4. Claims 1-4, 10-13, 16-19, 21-23, 25-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Yamashita, in view of the admitted prior art in figure 3 of the instant application.

Regarding claim 1, Yamashita discloses a path-monitoring system for cross-connect system.

receiving a time division multiplexed (TDM) stream on an input of the transmission system (the digital cross-connect system receives a plurality of TDM input lines #1- #N (see figure 3, column 2, lines 53-65). Each TDM frame or TDM stream contains N idle timeslots and data timeslots (column 1, lines 64-68)) wherein the TDM stream comprises a plurality of data fields (data timeslots) and a plurality of unused fields (idle timeslots);

inserting test data in one or more of the plurality unused fields of the TDM stream (each test pattern insertion circuits 30<sub>1</sub> - 30<sub>N</sub>, fig. 3 inserts a test pattern into idle timeslot of one of N successive frames (column 1, lines 65-67, and column 3, lines 41-50));

transferring the TDM stream along a plurality of components of the transmission system (the TDM frame containing idle timeslot and data timeslot is cross-connected or transferred along from the input to the output via a plurality of insertion circuits  $30_1 - 30_N$ , RAM  $40_1 - 40_N$ , and check circuit  $32_1 - 32_N$ ); and

comparing the test data (the inserted test pattern or the original pattern) against the transferred data (test pattern) (each check circuit 32<sub>1</sub> - 32<sub>N</sub> determines whether each test pattern matches the original pattern, column 2, lines 56-60).

Yamashita, however, does not teach the time slot interchangers (TSIs) to couple between the input lines and the output lines of the cross connect system.

TSI is well known in the art for connecting any time slot of an incoming TDM stream to a different time slot of an outgoing TDM stream.

Art Unit: 2665

The admitted prior art in figure 1 discloses a TSI coupled between the framers and the banks of DSPs. In general, a plurality of TSIs can also be used in system 100 for switching a time slot from the incoming TDM stream to a different time slot of the outgoing TDM stream.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time invention was made, to employ time slot interchangers as taught by the admitted prior art in figure 3 of the instant application into the system of Yamashita with the motivation is that any time slot of an incoming TDM frame could be connected to a different time slot of an outgoing TDM stream.

Regarding claim 2, in Yamashita a plurality of connection paths (#1-N) are established through insertion circuits  $30_1 - 30_N$ , time switch  $31_1 - 31_N$ , and output line #1-N figure 3.

Regarding claim 3, in Yamashita each insertion circuit  $30_1$ - $30_N$  inserts a test pattern A into a single time slot of frame #K (column 3, lines 37-50), and the test data is configured to transfer along the connection paths and circuits described in claim 2.

Regarding claim 4, in Yamashita test patterns A is inherently stored in each insertion circuit  $30_1-30_N$ .

Regarding claim 10, Yamashita in figure 3, column 3, lines 41-44, discloses a selector 34 (a controller), a timing generator 37 and a frame counter 38 (a framer block), wherein each frame includes idle time slots and data time slots, and insertion circuits (a logic circuit) for inserting test pattern into idle time slot of a frame.

Yamashita, however, does not teach (1) the time slot interchangers (TSIs) to couple between the input lines and the output lines of the cross connect system, and (2) the controller for setting up connections between interfaces of the transmission system.

TSI is well known in the art for connecting any time slot of an incoming TDM stream to a different time slot of an outgoing TDM stream.

The admitted prior art in figure 1 discloses (2) a controller 170 for setting up or tearing down the call connections (page 3, lines 1015), and (1) a TSI coupled between the framers and

Art Unit: 2665

the banks of DSPs. In general, a plurality of TSIs can also be used in system 100 for switching a time slot from the incoming TDM stream to a different time slot of the outgoing TDM stream.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time invention was made, to employ a controller for setting up and tearing down a call connection, and time slot interchangers as taught by the admitted prior art in figure 3 of the instant application into the system of Yamashita with the motivation is that to control a call's establishment and a call's ending and any time slot of an incoming TDM frame could be connected to a different time slot of an outgoing TDM stream in order to meet the required speed and efficiency of a telecommunication network.

Regarding claim 11, please see the rejection of claim 10. The TSI is considered as one among other circuits for transferring test pattern in an idle time slot.

Regarding claim 12, the insertion circuit inherently includes a receiver for storing the transferred test pattern.

Regarding claim 13, the insertion circuit 30 and the check circuit 32 are configured for testing the system. The check circuit inherently includes a comparator for checking each test pattern against the original single test pattern, column 3, lines 57-60.

Regarding claim 16, Yamashita in figure 3, column 3, lines 41-44, discloses a selector 34 (a controller), a timing generator 37 and a frame counter 38 (a framer block), wherein each frame includes idle time slots and data time slots, and insertion circuits (a logic circuit) for inserting test pattern into idle time slot of a frame, wherein as a part of the system configured for testing the system, the check circuit 32 inherently includes a comparator for checking each test pattern against the original single test pattern, column 3, lines 57-60.

Yamashita, however, does not teach (1) the time slot interchangers (TSIs) to couple between the input lines and the output lines of the cross connect system, and (2) the controller for setting up connections between interfaces of the transmission system.

Art Unit: 2665

TSI is well known in the art for connecting any time slot of an incoming TDM stream to a different time slot of an outgoing TDM stream.

The admitted prior art in figure 1 discloses (2) a controller 170 for setting up or tearing down the call connections (page 3, lines 1015), and (1) a TSI coupled between the framers and the banks of DSPs. In general, a plurality of TSIs can also be used in system 100 for switching a time slot from the incoming TDM stream to a different time slot of the outgoing TDM stream.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time invention was made, to employ a controller for setting up and tearing down a call connection, and time slot interchangers as taught by the admitted prior art in figure 3 of the instant application into the system of Yamashita with the motivation is that to control a call's establishment and a call's ending and any time slot of an incoming TDM frame could be connected to a different time slot of an outgoing TDM stream in order to meet the required speed and efficiency of a telecommunication network.

Regarding claims 17-19, these claims have similar limitations as claims 2-4.

Therefore, they are rejected under Yamashita and the admitted prior art in figure 3 of the instant application for the same reasons set forth in the rejection of claims 2-4, respectively.

Regarding claims 21-23, these claims have similar limitations as claims 16-18.

Therefore, they are rejected under Yamashita and the admitted prior art in figure 3 of the instant application for the same reasons set forth in the rejection of claims 16-18, respectively.

Regarding claims 25-28, these claims have similar limitations as claims 16-19.

Therefore, they are rejected under Yamashita and the admitted prior art in figure 3 of the instant application for the same reasons set forth in the rejection of claims 16-19, respectively

Art Unit: 2665

5. Claims 5, 14, 20, 24, and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Yamashita, in view of the admitted prior art in figure 1 of the instant application, and further in view of Bull et al. (US 4,523,308), hereinafter referred to as Bull.

Regarding claims 5, 14, 20, 24, and 29, Yamashita and the admitted prior art in figure 3 of the instant application disclose all claimed limitations except

a step of generating an error flag if the test data is different from the transferred test data.

Bull discloses a telephone concentrator switch arrangement. The line switch controller 36-fig. 1 determines a path for transferring the digitized voice information between the subscriber line 28a-28n (FIG. 1), and central office 10 over a port group highway, a line group highway, and a time slot through the line group highway switch 38, column 10, lines 22-27. If a voice path could not be located, the line switch controller sets a program return status to "no path available" and returns to the calling program. If a voice path located, the line switch controller monitors the DONE bit (fig. 5 D) of the status register, and if the DONE bit is not set within a specific time-out period, the line switch controller sets an error flag, column 13, lines 1-22.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time invention was made, to employ a mechanism generating an error flag by a controller as taught by Bull into the system of Yamashita and the admitted prior art in figure 3 of the instant application so that if a mismatch is detected, the check circuit as a part of the testing circuitry would set an error flag and the network management system 33 would be notified of this fact for correction.

Art Unit: 2665

6. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Yamashita, in view of the admitted prior art in figure 3 of the instant application, and further in view of Koenig et al.(6,351,452), hereinafter referred to as Koenig.

Regarding claim 15, Yamashita and the admitted prior art in figure 3 of the instant application disclose all claimed limitations, except the logic circuit comprises a field programmable gate array.

The FPGA is well known in the art for containing many circuits whose interconnections and functions are programmable by the user.

Koenig discloses a telecommunication device with centralized processing redundancy protection, and on-demand insertion of signaling bits, in which the controller 26-fig. 3 of the device 10-fig. 2 includes an FPGA device 112 (column 10, lines 46-54).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time invention was made, to employ an FPGA as taught by Keoning into the combination system of Yamashita and the admitted prior art in figure 3 of the instant application with the motivation is that the many circuits and whose interconnections and functions are programmable by the user of the FPGA would make the cross-connect system process the data at a rapid rate, and therefore the required speed and efficiency of telecommunication networks is increasing.

## Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 6-9, and 30-37 are allowed.

Reason for allowance

Art Unit: 2665

8. Regarding claims 6-9, and 30-37, the prior art fails to teach or suggest a method for testing a DSP of a transmission system, the method comprises the step of generating a test signal, wherein the test signal is generated by the DSP, and inserting the test signal in one or more of the plurality unused fields of the TDM stream, in combination with other limitations, as specified in claims 6, 30, and 34.

#### Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Duc Ho whose telephone number is (703) 305-1332. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:00 am to 3:30 pm.

If attempt to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Huy Vu, can be reached on (703) 308-6602.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700

10. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

#### or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Patent Examiner

Duc Ho

06-11-03